

REMARKS

This Amendment is filed in connection with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). Applicant has also submitted a petition for to revive the application. Its abandonment was unintentional. During the original prosecution of this case, Applicant filed an Amendment and Remarks dated April 20, 2005 in response to an Office Action dated January 24, 2005. In an Advisory Action dated May 3, 2005, the Examiner indicated that the claim amendments would not be entered because the subject matter of the claims would require further searching and consideration to determine the claims were allowable over the prior art. The Examiner did, however, provide suggestions for changing the amended claim language and the language of newly submitted claims to resolve §112 issues. New independent claims 22 and 24 have been rewritten in accordance with the suggestions by the Examiner and are resubmitted. In addition, claim 13 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner.

Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 22 and 24 as well as dependent claims 23 and 25, and 5, 6, 8-11 and 13 define patentable subject matter. Further favorable action is therefore earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP



Edward R. Williams, Jr.
Reg. No. 36,057

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 271-7590
Attorney Docket No.: 4620-00006